## The Superior Court of the State of Washington In and For the County of Grant

Evan E. Sperline, Judge Department One Presiding Judge, 2008 –

P. O. Box 37 Ephrata, WA 98823 (509) 754-2011 ext 369 Fax (509) 754-6036 esperline@co.grant.wa.us

February 6, 2010

Clerk of the Supreme Court P. O. Box 40929 Olympia, WA 98504-0929

Re:

Proposed Rules

Code of Judicial Conduct

Canon 3 Rule 3.7



## Ladies and Gentlemen:

A judge should be permitted to stand up in church and encourage fellow members of his or her congregation to financially support it. A judge should be permitted to solicit contributions to a charity fund from other members of a civic organization to which the judge belongs.

I submit that such activities should in no way be considered unethical so long as they cannot reasonably be deemed to be coercive or exploitative of the judicial office, and comply with the requirements of Rule 3.1.

I therefore propose the following amendment to Canon 3, Rule 3.7(B):

(B) soliciting\* contributions\* for such an organization or entity, but only (1) from members of the judge's family,\* (2) from members of an organization or entity of which the judge is also a member so long as the incentive to contribute reasonably appears to arise from their mutual membership interests and not from the judge's office or judicial authority; or (3) from judges over whom the judge does not exercise supervisory or appellate authority.

The proposed amendment would require adjustment of the Comment associated with the rule, and an amendment similar to the following in Rule 3.7(C):

(C) appearing or speaking at, receiving an award or other recognition at, being featured on the program of, and permitting his or her title to be used in connection with an event of such an organization or entity, but if the event serves a fundraising purpose, the judge may do so only if the event concerns the law, the legal system, or the administration of justice, or as permitted by section (B)(2) of this rule when the judge is a member of the organization or entity;

Clerk of the Supreme Court February 6, 2010 Page 2

Within the confines of Rule 3.1, made applicable by the introduction to Rule 3.7, this amendment would do great potential good, and would do no harm. For instance, a judge should be able to solicit on behalf of his or her *alma mater* from fellow alumnae, especially those who share no other connection with the judge and reside in a remote jurisdiction.

I have a personal interest in this proposal. I serve on the Board of Directors of Pacific Lutheran Theological Seminary in Berkeley, California. PLTS is financially supported by individual donors, organizations, and Lutheran congregations, synods and churchwide entities. I represent on the board all of Eastern Washington and Idaho. If I visit a congregation in Spokane, Yakima, or Boise, and encourage support for PLTS, my judicial role is wholly irrelevant.

I hope the Court will agree that proposed Rule 3.7 is more restrictive than it should be, and that my proposed amendment is safe, sensible, and salutary.

Very truly yours,

Evan E. Sperline